Montana Department of Livestock Meat/Poultry Inspection Program 2009 Biennium Budget Request

	FY06 Base Budget	SWPLA	New DP 1012 Base Funding Adjustment	FY08 PL	DP 1010 - Meat Inspector RST	DP 1011 - FAIM Computer Maint.	Total Budget FY08
FTE	19.50			19.50	1.00		20.50
PS OE Total	728,751 279,748 1,008,499	96,344 31,836 128,180	<u>·</u>	825,095 311,584 1,136,679	 32,807 12,540 45,347	6,522 6,522	857,902 330,646 1,188,548
GF SSR Fed	479,014 6,475 523,010	85,088 - 43,092	1,000	565,102 6,475 565,102	22,673 22,674	3,261 3,261	591,036 6,475 591,037
Total	1,008,499	128,180	(1,000)	1,136,679	 45,347	6,522	1,188,548

	FY06 Base Budget	SWPLA	New DP 1012 Base Funding Adjustment	FY09 PL		DP 1010 - Meat Inspector RST	DP 1011 - FAIM Computer Maint.	Total Budget FY09
FTE	19.5			19.5		1.00	:	20.50
PS OE Total	728,751 279,748 1,008,499	98,816 33,382 132,198		827,567 313,130 1,140,697	-	32,844 8,240 41,084	6,522 6,522	860,411 327,892 1,188,303
GF SSR Fed Total	479,014 6,475 523,010 1,008,499	88,096 - 44,102 132,198	(1)	567,111 6,475 567,111 1,140,697	<u>.</u>	20,542 20,542 41,084	3,261 3,261 6,522	590,914 6,475 590,914 1,188,303

• DP 1009 - Meat Inspection Funding Shortfall - Withdrawn

The above budget assumes there will be at least \$591,037 and \$590,014 of federal funds available in FY08 and FY09, respectively, and will be matched dollar for dollar by state general fund. What is the legislature's intent for program operations if federal funds of these amounts are not available?

<sup>•</sup> After deducting the \$6,475 SSR, the program is funded 50% GF/50% Federal

<sup>•</sup> The New DP 1012 Base Funding Adjustment needed to correct base funding

<sup>•</sup> Operate the program to live within the available federal funds and maintain a 50/50 match from general fund

<sup>•</sup> Operate the program to use all available resources, disregarding 50/50 match

## Department of Livestock

- The Department of Livestock has not implemented a broad-band pay system. We did participate in a pilot project completed in January 2001. There was a one-time lump sum payment of \$22,500 divided funded with existing budgets. Because of funding considerations the Board did not authorize further expenditures.
- New employees typically are paid at entry. Employees then progress to market by periodic legislative pay increases. The average percent of market is 95% because we have numerous long-term employees.
- Yes, 2.31 FTE. 1.00 FTE was the Public Information Officer for funding considerations. The others are partial FTE Tally Writers in the Brand Enforcement necessary to generate vacancy savings and to be used on a seasonal basis.
- 4) Yes, we had two I.T. positions that were essential to the Department that were the lowest compensated in the State for their positions. The Board approved a 5% increase and we still were not able to retain these positions.
- 5) We had one upgrade due to significant increase in workload and complexity.
- Livestock has significant challenges in recruiting and retaining staff, particularly in I.T. positions. We lost 2/3rds of our I.T. staff in FY 06 alone to other State agencies. The Department tried the pay exception route and still lost key positions. The Department participated in the PP20 task force and expressed concerns. We have worked with the D of Administration and considered the cost to convert to PP 20. We also have scientific positions at the lab that are difficult to recruit for. Our competition is primarily other State agencies.
- 7) The Department of Livestock is not represented by collective bargaining.

ghh